Approved, SCAO	Original - Court 1st Copy- Defendant	2nd Copy - Plaintiff 3rd Copy -Return	2nd Copy - Plaintiff 3rd Copy -Return		
STATE OF MICHIGAN THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WAYNE COUNTY	SUMMONS	21-	CASE NO. 21-016818-CZ Hon.David J. Allen		
Court address : 2 Woodward Ave., Detroit MI 48226		Cor	urt telephone no.: 313-224-0250		
Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s) Malin, Jeffrey	v	Defendant's name(s), address(es PORSCHE CARS NORTH A			
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone n	o				
Adam S. Alexander 53584 17200 W 10 Mile Rd Ste 200 Southfield, MI 48075-8200					
Instructions: Check the items below that apply to your complaint and, if necessary, a case inventory a	ou and provide any require addendum (form MC 21). T	ed information. Submit this form to he summons section will be comp	the court clerk along with eleted by the court clerk.		
Domestic Relations Case ☐ There are no pending or resolved cases within members of the person(s) who are the subject ☐ There is one or more pending or resolved case family members of the person(s) who are the sector (form MC 21) listing those cases. ☐ It is unknown if there are pending or resolved or family members of the person(s) who are the conformal of the person of the p	of the complaint. es within the jurisdiction of subject of the complaint. I have cases within the jurisdiction e subject of the complaint. The action includes a busine we a right to recover expensive a right to recover expensive and artising out of the same arties arising out of the transport	the family division of the circuit co ave separately filed a completed of the family division of the circuit ess or commercial dispute under Manager in this case. I certify that notice ealth plan in accordance with MCI transaction or occurrence as alleged in the	ourt involving the family or confidential case inventory it court involving the family MCL 600.8035 De and a copy of the L 400.106(4). God in the complaint.		
The action \square remains \square is no longer pend	ling.				
Summons section completed by court clerk.	SUMMONS				
 NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of 1. You are being sued. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving this summ copy on the other party or take other lawful at this state). If you do not answer or take other action within complaint. If you require special accommodations to use th you fully participate in court proceedings, pleas 	nons and a copy of the corction with the court (28 date of the time allowed, judgment of a disable contact the court immediate.	nplaint to file a written answer w ays if you were served by mail or t may be entered against you for ility or if you require a foreign langately to make arrangements.	you were served outside the relief demanded in the		
Issue date 12/6/2021	Expiration date* 3/7/2022	Court clerk Carlita McMiller			
	- 	Cathy M. Ga	arrett- Wayne County Clerk.		

*This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court.

MC 01 (9/19)

SUMMONS

MCR 1.109(D), MCR 2.102(B), MCR 2.103, MCR 2.104, MCR 2.105





SUMMONS Case No. : 21-016818-CZ

PROOF OF SERVICE

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE

c							<u> </u>
	OFFICER CE	ERTIFICA	ATE	OR		AFFIDAVIT	OF PROCESS SERVER
I certify that I am a court officer, or at that: (notarizati	a sheriff, deputy : torney for a party on not required)	sheriff, bai / (MCR 2.1	liff, appointed 04[A][2]), and		adult, and I	am not a party or	that I am a legally competent an officer of a corporate party (notarization required)
☐ I served perso	nally a copy of th	e summor	s and complaint.				1.
☐ I served by reg	istered or certifie	ed mail (co	py of return receip			summons and co	mplaint,
	List all do	cuments se	ved with the Summo	ons and Com	plaint		
			-			,	on the defendant(s):
Defendant's name			Complete addr	ress(es) of s	ervice	Day, dat	e, time
☐ I have persona have been una	ally attempted to solutions	serve the s	ummons and com	nplaint, toget	ther with any a	attachments, on t	he following defendant(s) and
Defendant's name	• • • • • • •	11 : J:	;. Complete addr	ess(es) of s	ervice	Day, date	e, time :
- Maria de la compansión de la compansió				<u> </u>			· · ·
	T- 107						
I declare under the information, know	e penalties of per ledge, and belief	rjury that th	is proof of service	has been e	examined by n	ne and that its co	ntents are true to the best of m
Service fee	Miles traveled	Fee	7	s	ignature		
\$	\$	\$					
Incorrect address fee	Miles traveled	Fee	Total fee	N	lame (type or	print)	
,	\$	\$	\$		••		
					itle		
Subscribed and sw	orn to before me	on			ı · ·		County, Michigan.
My commission ex	pires:		Date Signature	e:			
• •	. Date			1.5	Deputy cou	urt clerk/Notary p	ublic
Notary public, State	e of Michigan, Co	ounty of _		·			STEEL STAN TO STANKE
٠٠ ا		a to takes.					ordinate of the Constitution of the Robbinson
	I have a sectional				F OF SERVI		official southern in
acknowledge that	rnave received	service of	ine summons and	complaint, t	ogetner with	Attac	hments
			0	on	Day, date	time	
					•		
Signature				on behalf of			·
g.:a.a.o							

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

FEFFREY MALIN

Plaintiff,

21- CZ

The plants with the description of the second of the second

-V-

PORSCHE CARS NORTH AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

ADAM S. ALEXANDER (P53584) ALEXANDER LAW FIRM Attorneys for Plaintiff 17200 W. Ten Mile Rd., Ste 200 Southfield, MI 48075 (248) 246-6353

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint.

- 1. Plaintiff is a resident of Bloomfield Hills, Michigan.
- 2. Defendant, Porsche Cars North America, Inc. "Manufacturer"), is a Corporation authorized to do business in the State of Michigan, and at all times relevant to the instant action, was engaged in the manufacture, sale, distribution and warranty of Porsche vehicles, with its resident agent located in Plymouth, Michigan.
- 4. On May 30, 2019 Plaintiff purchased a used 2017 Porsche Panamera VIN WPOAA2A75HL100436, for \$76,445.00, ("the vehicle"), from an authorized Porsche dealer, which was and is covered by Porsche's 4-year/50,000-mile basic warranty, as well as other warranties outlined below.

- 5. The vehicle has been subject to at least ten (10) repair attempts for a defective scat belt and seat belt warning system that are potentially dangerous and hazardous.
- 6. The seat belt defects have been ongoing since Mr. Malin took delivery of the vehicle and remain unrepaired today.
- 7. This cause arises out of the Defendants' fraud, breaches of warranty, and violations of statutes, as hereinafter set forth.
- 8. Plaintiff seeks damages in excess of \$25,000 and/or equitable relief, and their claims are otherwise within the jurisdiction of this Court.

COUNT I - BREACH OF EXPRESS AND IMPLIED WARRANTIES

- 9. Plaintiff incorporate by reference all facts and allegations set forth in this complaint.
 - 10. Defendant is a merchant with respect to motor vehicles under MCL 440.2104.
- 11. The aforementioned motor vehicle purchased by Plaintiff was subject to implied warranties of merchantability under MCL 440.2314.
- 12. Defendant, to induce said sale, also made certain express warranties and representations to Plaintiff, both orally and in writing and through their advertising and conduct.
- 13. Said express and implied warranties and representations included, but were not limited to, the following:
 - a) Said vehicle was fit for the ordinary purposes of safe, reliable transportation;
 - b) Said vehicle was of fair or average and merchantable quality;
 - c) Said vehicle was free from defective parts and workmanship;
 - d) Said vehicle was so engineered and designed as to function without requiring unreasonable maintenance and repairs;
 - e) Any defects or non-conformities would be cured within a reasonable time;
 - f) In the event said vehicle was not free from defective parts or workmanship that Defendants would repair or replace same in a timely manner;
 - g) Said vehicle would pass without objection in the trade; and
 - h) Said vehicle was fit for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used.

- 14. Said vehicle was not as warranted and represented and is patently defective due to defective parts and workmanship, including but not limited to the seat belt and warning system defects listed above.
- 15. As a result of its defects, said vehicle cannot be reasonably used by Plaintiff as a reliable and safe transportation which was bargained for but not provided.
- 16. Plaintiff has given Defendant and their authorized dealer reasonable opportunities to cure said defects and make the subject vehicle fit for its intended purpose but Defendant has been unable to do so within a reasonable time. Plaintiff has also provided Defendant with a certified "final repair" letter in accordance with Michigan's Lemon Law, however Defendant failed to take this opportunity or even respond to the letter.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's various breaches of warranty, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including but not limited to: the cost of the vehicle including sales tax, title and license fees, as well as a rim and tire warranty. Plaintiff will suffer future damages, including but not limited to, loss of use, car rental or cost to cover, aggravation and inconvenience, and diminished value of the subject vehicle, together with costs and attorney fees in attempting to obtain relief from Defendant's wrongful conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant, in whatever amount above \$25,000 Plaintiff is found to be entitled, plus interest, costs and reasonable attorney fees.

COUNT II - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts and allegations set forth in this complaint.
- 19. Plaintiff and Defendant agreed that if Plaintiff signed the necessary paperwork, provided insurance for the subject vehicle, and made the requisite payments, Plaintiff would be

provided with a vehicle of fair or average quality and as described in the purchase agreement and represented in promotional documents, warranty documents and the owner's manual.

- 20. Plaintiff fulfilled all of the terms and obligations under the contract.
- 21. Defendant have breached said contract by not providing Plaintiff with a vehicle of fair or average and reliable transportation Plaintiff was promised in exchange for the consideration paid, and not repairing the vehicle within a reasonable amount of time.
 - 22. Plaintiff has been harmed by the breach of the contract described above.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of contract, Plaintiff has suffered the damages set forth in Count I above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendant, in whatever amount above \$25,000 Plaintiff is found to be entitled, plus interest, costs and reasonable attorney fees.

<u>COUNT III</u> <u>LIABILITY UNDER MAGNUSON-MOSS</u> WARRANTY ACT (15 USC §2301 ET SEQ)

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts and allegations set forth in this complaint.
- 25. This Court has jurisdiction to decide claims brought under 15 USC §2301 et seq, by virtue of 15 USC §2301(d)-(a).
 - 26. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined in 15 USC §2301(3).
 - Defendant is a supplier and warrantors as defined in 15 USC §2301(4)(5).
- 28. The aforedescribed motor vehicle is a consumer product as defined in 15 USC §2301(6).

- 29. 15 USC §2301(a)(1), requires Defendant, as warrantor, to remedy any defect, malfunction or nonconformance of the subject vehicle within a reasonable time, as defined in 15 USC §2304(d).
- 30. If the product (or a component part thereof) contains a defect or malfunction after a reasonable number of attempts by the warrantor to remedy defects or malfunctions in such product, such warrantor must permit the consumer to elect either a refund for, or replacement without charge of such product or part (as the case may be). 15 USC §2304(a)(4).
- 31. The subject vehicle contains a defect or malfunction after a reasonable number of repair attempts, as noted above.
- 32. As a result of Defendant's breaches of express and implied warranties as set forth in this 'Complaint, and Defendant's failure to remedy same within a reasonable time Plaintiff has suffered the damages enumerated in Count I of this Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court enter its Order requiring

Defendant to accept return of the subject vehicle and refund Plaintiff's purchase price, together
with incidental damages as mandated by 15 USC §2304(d), taxes, interest, out of pocket costs,
court costs and actual attorney fees reasonably incurred as provided by 15 USC §2310(d)(2).

COUNT IV - FRAUD ON THE INDUCEMENT

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all facts and allegations set forth in this complaint.
- 34. Defendant intentionally and knowingly concealed, suppressed and/or omitted material facts including the standard, quality or grade of the vehicle and the presence of known defects in the subject vehicle with the intent that Plaintiff rely on Defendants' omissions. As a direct result of Defendants' fraudulent conduct, Plaintiff have suffered actual damages.

- 35. Defendant knew (at the time of the sale and thereafter) that the vehicle contained known defects, and concealed the defects. To date, Defendant have not provided Plaintiff with a repair or remedy that will eliminate said defects or have otherwise not repaired the defects in a timely manner.
- 36. Defendant owed a duty to disclose the defects referenced above, and the corresponding value depreciation and likelihood of excessive repairs because Defendants possessed superior and exclusive knowledge regarding the defects. Rather than disclose the defects, Defendant intentionally and knowingly concealed, suppressed and/or omitted material facts including the standard, quality or grade of the vehicle and the presence of the defects experienced by Plaintiff, in an effort to sell additional vehicles of the same year/make/model and avoid the cost of repair or replacement.
- 37. The fact that the defects exposed Plaintiff to poor performance, consistent repair visits and repair attempts, lower resale value and potentially dangerous driving conditions is material because Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation that the vehicle was of fair or average quality.
- 38. Plaintiff would not have purchased the but for Defendant's omissions and concealment of material facts regarding the nature and quality of the vehicle and existence of the defects or would have paid less for the vehicle had Plaintiff known.
- 39. Defendant knew the concealment and suppression of material facts were false and misleading and knew the effect of concealing those material facts. Defendant knew their concealment and suppression of the defects would sell more vehicles and would discourage Plaintiff from seeking replacement or repair of the defects. Further, Defendant intended to induce

Plaintiff into purchasing the vehicle to discourage Plaintiff from seeking other transportation options, as well as to decrease costs and increase profits.

- 40. Defendant acted with malice, oppression and fraud.
- 41. Plaintiff reasonably relied upon Defendant's knowing concealment and omissions. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's omissions and active concealment of material facts regarding the defects and associated safety hazard, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

<u>COUNT V</u> <u>VIOLATION OF MCL 257.1401, ET SEQ (MICHIGAN LEMON LAW)</u> DEFENDANT MANUFACTURER ONLY

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all heretofore mentioned facts and allegations in this Complaint.
- 43. The subject vehicle has been out of service because of repairs for the requisite amount occasions for the same condition/defect, with the first such repair being within one year of the date of delivery to Plaintiff.
- 44. Plaintiff have given reasonable notice and opportunity to cure as required by statute, and has otherwise complied with all other requirements of said statute, including allowance for a final repair that failed to remedy the defects.
- 45. Despite demand, Defendant Manufacturer has refused to refund Plaintiff' purchase price, less the reasonable allowance for Plaintiff' use of the vehicle as required by MCL 257.1403(1), together with Plaintiff' out of pocket costs as required by statute.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court enter its Order requiring Defendant to refund Plaintiff' purchase price, together with taxes, interest, costs and actual attorney fees as provided by MCL 257.1401, et seq.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Adam Alexander
ADAM S. ALEXANDER (P53584)
ALEXANDER LAW FIRM
Attorney for Plaintiff
17200 W. Ten Mile Rd., Ste. 200
Southfield, MI 48075
(248) 246-6353

December 6, 2021